

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:)
Jun KOYAMA et al)
Serial No.: 09/666,521)
Filed: September 20, 2000)
Art Unit: 2629)
Examiner: Kimnhung T. Nguyen)
Confirmation No: 6933)
For: EL DISPLAY DEVICE AND ELECTRONIC DEVICE

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97, Applicant hereby calls the Examiner's attention to documents listed on the attached form, which documents may be material to the examination of this application. A copy of the cited reference is submitted herewith for the Examiner's consideration.

ENTRY AND CONSIDERATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

In accordance with 37 CFR §1.97(d), this IDS shall be considered by the Patent Office as it is being filed before payment of the issue fee and is 1) accompanied by the following statement under §1.97(e), and 2) the fee set forth in §1.17(p):

Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

REFERENCES CITED HEREIN

Japanese reference JP 6-27449 submitted herein was cited by the Japanese Patent Office in counterpart Japanese application no. JP 11-271235, in a communication mailed to Applicants on May 11, 2010.

**REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED REFERENCES
AND INITIALIZATION OF 1449 FORM**

On September 20, 2000, Applicants filed an information disclosure statement and form 1449, and submitted references US 5,643,826, US 5,923,962, and Japanese references JP 7-130652, JP 8-96959, JP 8-330602, JP 9-63770 and JP 10-270363. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider these references and initial the 1449 form (a copy of the 1449 form filed on 09/20/2000 is attached).

No inference should be drawn that the attached list sets forth a comprehensive investigation of the prior art, that any or all are pertinent to the invention, or that any apparatus disclosed is equivalent to the subject invention.

The citation of the above-discussed documents is not to be construed as an assertion that more pertinent art could not possibly be in existence. Citation of any document herein is not to be construed as an admission that any subject matter disclosed in the document is necessarily within the inventive field of endeavor, that any disclosure is necessarily prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant to the

instant patent application, and/or that any disclosure is otherwise necessarily prior art with respect to the instant invention.

Applicant also respectfully reserves the right to later set forth how the instant invention is distinguished over the disclosure of any document or other art, including the disclosure of those documents discussed herein, that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application.

FEE

In accordance with §1.17(p), Applicants are submitting the required fee. If any further fee is necessary for this IDS, please charge our Deposit Account No. 23-0920.

CONCLUSION

As the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97(d) have been met, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner now enter and consider this IDS.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark J. Murphy
Registration No.: 34,225

Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP
120 South Riverside Plaza
Suite 2200
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 655-1500

Customer no. 24628